

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,678	12/27/2001	Omry Paiss	P-4045-US	7044	
49444	7590 09/28/2006		EXAM	INER	
PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP 1500 BROADWAY, 12TH FLOOR			ROSE, KERRI M		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-1/	
\mathbf{A}	
זע	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/026,678		PAISS, OMRY	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kerri M. Rose	2616	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, a places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply time periods:	affidavit, or other evidence, which n compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mai	iling date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amou under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply o set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	int of the fee. The appropriate extension fee originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	ha filad within two manths of the data of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must the filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in AMENDMENTS 	, to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a bri (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see N	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially appeal; and/or	reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to t entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under approximate a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	r entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	- (Man To Magne
13. Other:	
	CHAU NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Haimi-Cohen does not teach a first and second link of a wireless system. Figure 4 shows a wireless digital telephone. Column 6 lines 29-34 describe recording both sides of the conversation. The second link is the speaker on the wireless digital phone and the first link is from the distant speaker. The claim does not state that both speakers must be on wireless phones. The distant speaker may be on a landline telephone. Eventually the landline link will have to transition to a wireless link for transmission to the wireless phone. The phone is recording the conversation from a first and second link of a wireless system. Applicant also argues that a subscriber is not taught. The owner of the wireless phone has subscribed to the wireless service and received a phone with certain capabilities, including the ability to place a call, record the conversation, and playback the conversation. If the owner of the wireless phone shown in figure 4 has not subscribed with a wireless carrier his phone will not function. Therefore, Haimi-Cohen does teach a subscriber.